## BELIV'06

23 May 2006 - Venice, Italy





# Proceedings of BELIV'06 BEyond time and errors: novel evaLuation methods for Information Visualization

A workshop of the AVI 2006 International Working Conference



#### Beliv'06 Workshop Table of Content

Challenges with Controlled Studies

<ul> <li>Evaluating Information Visualizations</li></ul>
Lessons Learned from Case Studies
<ul> <li>Evaluating Visual Table Data Understanding</li></ul>
Methodologies: Novel Approaches and Metrics
<ul> <li>Strategies for Evaluating Information Visualization Tools: Multidimensional In-depth Long-term Case Studies.         Ben Shneiderman, Catherine Plaisant     </li> <li>Visual Quality Metrics.         Enrico Bertini, Giuseppe Santucci     </li> <li>Metrics for Analyzing Rich Session Histories.         Howard Goodell, Chih-Hung Chiang, Curran Kelleher, Alex Baumann, Georges Grinstein     </li> </ul>
Methodologies: Heuristics for Information Visualization
<ul> <li>Heuristics for Information Visualization Evaluation</li></ul>
Developing Benchmarks datasets and tasks

reveloping benchmarks datasets and tasks

	Yves Guiard, Yangzhou Du, Jean-Daniel Fekete, Michel Beaudouin-Lafon,
	Caroline Appert, Olivier Chapuis
•	Threat Stream Data Generator: Creating the Known Unknowns for
	Test and Evaluation of Visual Analytics Tools
	Whiting, Mark, Haack, Jereme, Varley, Caroline
•	Just how dense are dense graphs in the real world? A
	methodological note
	Guy Melançon
•	Task Taxonomy for Graph Visualization
	Bongshin Lee, Catherine Plaisant, Cynthia Sims Parr
•	A Taxonomy of Tasks for Guiding the Evaluation of Multidimensional
	Visualizations
	Fliane Valiati Marcelo Pimenta, Carla M.D.S. Freitas

### **Workshop Organizers**

Enrico Bertini

Dip. di Informatica e Sistemistica, University of Rome "La Sapienza" bertini@dis.uniroma1.it

Catherine Plaisant HCIL/UMIACS, University of Maryland plaisant@cs.umd.edu

Giuseppe Santucci

Dip. di Informatica e Sistemistica, University of Rome "La Sapienza" santucci@dis.uniroma1.it

### **Program Committee**

Alan Blackwell (Cambridge University, UK)

Margaret Burnett (Oregon State University, USA)

Tiziana Catarci (University of Rome, Italy)

Chaomei Chen (Drexel University, USA)

Luca Chittaro (University of Udine, Italy)

Maria Francesca Costabile (University of Bari, Italy)

Mary Czerwinski (Microsoft Research, USA)

Alan Dix (University of Lancaster, UK)

Jean Daniel Fekete (INRIA, France)

George Grinstein (UMass, USA)

Stephen Kimani (University of Rome, Italy)

Chris North (Virginia Polytechnic Institute, USA)

George Robertson (Microsoft Research, USA)

Ben Shneiderman (Maryland University, USA)

John Stasko (Georgia Tech, USA)

### **Additional Reviewers**

Andy Cockburn (University of Canterbury, New Zealand)

Natalie Henry (INRIA Futurs. France)

Kasper Hornbæk (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)

Bongshin Lee (Maryland University, USA)

Guy Melançon (LIRMM UMR CNRS, France)

Marian Petre (The Open University, UK)

Markus Rester (Vienna University of Technology, Austria)

Jean Scholtz (NIST, USA)

#### **Foreword**

Information visualization systems can be very complex and require evaluation efforts targeted at the component level, the system level, and the work environment level. Some components can be evaluated with metrics that can be observed or computed (e.g. speed, accuracy, scalability), while others require empirical user evaluation to determine their benefits while used by humans.

Controlled experiments remain the workhorse of evaluation but there is a growing sense in the community that information visualization systems need new methods of evaluation, from longitudinal field studies, insight based evaluation and other metrics adapted to the perceptual aspects of visualization as well as the exploratory nature of discovery. While the overall growth of information visualization is accelerating, the growth of techniques for the evaluation of systems has been relatively slow. That is true for both usability studies and intrinsic quality metrics. Usability studies still tend to be addressed in an ad hoc manner, focusing on particular systems, addressing only time and errors issues, and failing to produce reusable and robust results. Intrinsic quality metrics are even more rare and immature while it is vital defining and assessing them.

The aim of the workshop is to collect and discuss innovative ideas on infovis evaluation methods. That includes new ways of conducting user studies, definition and assessment of infovis effectiveness through the formal characterization of perceptual and cognitive tasks and insights, definition of quality criteria and metrics. Case study and survey papers are also part of the workshop since they present interesting general guidelines, practical advices, and lessons learned.

#### **List of Authors**

Keith Andrews

Caroline Appert

Carmelo Ardito

Slaven Banovic

Alex Baumann

Michel Beaudouin-Lafon

Enrico Bertini

Paolo Buono

Sheelagh Carpendale

Olivier Chapuis

Chih-Hung Chiang

Maria Francesca Costabile

Alan Dix

Yangzhou Du

Geoffrey Ellis

Jean-Daniel Fekete

Carla M.D.S. Freitas

**Howard Goodell** 

Georges Grinstein

Yves Guiard

Jereme Haack

Mark S. Hancock

Nathalie Henry

Klaus Hinum

Curran Kelleher

Rosa Lanzilotti

Bongshin Lee

Riccardo Mazza

Guy Melançon

Silvia Miksch

Petra Neumann

Susanne Ohmann

Marcelo Pimenta

Catherine Plaisant

Margit Pohl

**Christian Popow** 

Markus Rester

Giuseppe Santucci

**Lothar Schlesier** 

Ben Shneiderman

Cynthia Sims Parr

John Stasko

Eliane Valiati

Caroline Varley

Mark Whiting

Torre Zuk

Proceedings of BELIV'06
BEyond time and errors: novel evaluation methods for Information Visualization

A workshop of the AVI 2006 International Working Conference

