Strong Jump Traceability I

Rod Downey Victoria University Wellington New Zealand

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ 日・ ・

3

K-Triviality K-lowness

BACKGROUND REFERENCES

- Calibrating Randomness, (Downey, Hirschfeldt, Nies, Terwijn) Bulletin Symbolic Logic, 2006
- Algorithmic Randomness and Complexity, (Downey and Hirschfeldt) Springer-Verlag

(日)

Randomness and Computability (Nies) OUP

REFERENCES

- Strong Jump-Traceability I : the Computably Enumerable Case, (Cholak, Downey, Greenberg) Advances in Math.
- Strong jump-traceability II: (Downey and Greenberg) Israel J Math.
- Lowness properties and approximations of the jump. (Figueira, Nies, Stephan), APAL
- K-trivial degrees and the jump-traceability hierarchy, Barmpalias, Downey and Greenberg. Proc AMS
- Beyond strong jump traceability, (Ng Keng Meng) Proc LMS

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)



- Characterising the strongly jump-traceable sets via randomness, Greenberg, Hirschfeldt and Nies, Adv Math.
- Strong jump-traceability and Demuth randomness, Greenberg and Turetsky. to appear Proc. LMS
- Benign cost functions and lowness notions, Greenberg and Nies. JSL.
- Pseudo-jump operators and SJTHard sets, (Downey and Greenberg) to appear Advances in Math.

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣

 Inherent enumerability of strong jump traceability, (Diamondstone, Greenberg, Turetsky)

NOTATION

Real is a member of Cantor space 2^ω with topology with basic clopen sets [σ] = {σα : α ∈ 2^ω} whose measure is μ([σ]) = 2^{-|σ|}.

▲御▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ 二 国

- $\alpha \upharpoonright n$ is the first *n* bits of α .
- Strings = members of $2^{<\omega} = \{0, 1\}^*$.

KOLMOGOROV COMPLEXITY

- Capture the incompressibility paradigm. Random means hard to describe, incompressible: e.g. 1010101010.... (10000 times) would have a short program.
- A string σ is random iff the only way to describe it is by hardwiring it. (Formalizing the Berry paradox)
- Want the bits of τ to describe σ if U(τ) = σ for a device (Turing machine) U. Write C(σ) = |τ| for the shortest such τ, and can use a universal machine.
- Plain complexity like this has \(\tau\) providing itself and its length so this is circumvented by using prefix-free complexity (telephone numbers) giving K for prefix-free machines.
- Using this, Levin, Chaitin, Schnorr proved that there are reals with K(α ↾ n) ≥⁺ n for all n, called 1-random, and coinciding with earlier ones avoiding all effective null sets,_≥

K-Triviality K-lowness

MARTIN-LÖF RANDOMNESS

- Generalized effective statistical test: an effectively shrinking computable collection of open sets: {U_n | n ∈ ℝ} with µ(U_n) ≤ 2⁻ⁿ.
- e.g. every second bit is 0: $U_1 = \{[10], [00]\}, \text{ etc.}$
- ► For all such Martin-Löf tests $A \notin \cap_n U_n$.
- Other notions: Schnorr randomness (μ(U_n) = 2⁻ⁿ),
 Demuth Randomness (ω-effective approximations and other acceptances criterion), etc.

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

As with life, relationships here are complex (Solovay)

$$K(x) = C(x) + C^{(2)}(x) + O(C^{(3)}(x)).$$

and

$$C(x) = K(x) - K^{(2)}(x) + O(K^{(3)}(x)).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □ ● ● の Q @

► These 3's are sharp (Solovay) That is, for example, $K = C + C^2 + C^3 + O(C^4)$ is NOT true.

LOWNESS

- I would like to discuss the remarkable story of lowness generating K-triviality and then sjt.
- First sjt was an apparent artifact
- Then proved to be intimately related with randomness
- Later (also) giving insight into computability itself.
- I will try to explain the little boxes method, which is new and poorly understood.
- Theme: to what extent do computational lowness (the extent to which sets resemble computable ones) and being far from random align themselves?

<回>< E> < E> < E> = E

KEY FACTS

► THEOREM (CHAITIN)

There is a constant d such that for all c, and n,

$$|\{\nu: |\nu| = n \wedge C(\nu) \leq C(n) + c\}| \leq d2^c.$$

THEOREM (LEVIN, CHAITIN)

There is a constant d such that for all c and n,

$$|\{\nu: |\nu| = \mathbf{n} \wedge \mathbf{C}(\nu) \leq \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{c}\}| \leq \mathbf{d2}^{\mathbf{c}}.$$

▲御 ▶ ▲ 陸 ▶ ▲ 陸 ▶ ― 陸

INFORMATION CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPUTABILITY

- ▶ Chaitin proved that a real *A* is computable iff for all *n*, $C(A \upharpoonright n) \leq^+ \log n$, iff $C(A \upharpoonright n) \leq^+ C(n)$.
- This is proven using the fact that a Π⁰₁ class with a finite number of paths has only computable paths, combined with the Counting Theorem {σ : C(σ) ≤ C(n) + c ∧ |σ| = n} ≤ d2^c. (Using the

Meyer-Loveland Technique below)

Meyer(-Loveland) had earlier shown A is computable iff C(A ↾ n|n) ≤ c for some c and all n.

▲御▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ -

THE MEYER-LOVELAND TECHNIQUE

- If C(α ↾ n|n) ≤ c then there are only c programmes possibly computing initial segments of α.
- This computes a tree of *strings* of maximal width c.
- Therefore only at most c paths. Say \hat{c} .
- Imagine the situation that there is only one path in a tree of maximal width 2.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Enumerate until only one remains.

K-TRIVIALITY

What is a consequence of K(A ↾ n) ≤⁺ K(n) for all n? We call such reals K-trivial. Does A K-trivial imply A computable?

르

• Write $A \in KT(d)$ iff for all $n, K(A \upharpoonright n) \leq K(n) + d$.

THE ARGUMENT FAILS

- It is still true that {σ : K(σ) ≤ K(|σ|) + d} is O(2^d), so it would appear that we could run the Π⁰₁ class argument used for C. But no...
- The problem is that we don't know K(n) in any computable interval, therefore the tree of K-trivials we would construct would be a Π⁰₁ class relative to Ø'.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

THEOREM (CHAITIN, ZAMBELLA)

There are only $O(2^d)$ members of KT(d). They are all Δ_2^0 .

< 同 > < 回 > < 回 > <

THEOREM (SOLOVAY)

There are noncomputable K-trivial reals.

THEOREM (ZAMBELLA)

Such reals can be c.e. sets.

A REMARKABLE CLASS

- K-trivials form a remarkable class as we will see.
- First they solve Post's problem.
- Theorem: (DHNS) If A is K-trivial then $A <_T \emptyset'$.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ...

크

Similar methods allow for us to show the following

THEOREM (NIES)

All K-trivials are superlow $A' \equiv_{tt} \emptyset'$, and are tt-bounded by c.e. K-trivials. In fact they are Jump Traceable as we see below. Thus triviality is essentially an "enumerable" phenomenom.

< 同 > < 回 > < 回 > <

There are other antirandomness notions.

DEFINITION (KUČERA AND TERWIJN)

We say *A* is low for randomness iff the reals Martin-Löf random relative to *A* are exactly the Martin-Löf random reals.

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □

DEFINITION (HIRSCHFELDT, NIES, STEPHAN)

A is a base for randomness iff $A \leq_T B$ with B A-random.

THEOREM

The following are equivalent to being K-trivial.

- (I) (Nies) A is low for randomness.
- (II) (Hirschfeldt and Nies) A is K-low in that $K^A = {}^+ K$.
- (III) (Hirschfeldt, Nies, Stephan) A is a base for randomness.

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣

- (IV) (Downey, Nies, Weber, Yu+Nies, Miller) A is low for weak-2-randomness.
- (V) + 15 others!

QUESTIONS AND A PROPER SUBCLASS

It is open if this is the same as a number of other "cost function" classes such as the reals which are Martin-Löf coverable. It is known there is a proper subclass defined by cost function.

DEFINITION

- ► (Zambella, Terwijn, later Nies) Let *h* be an order. We say that *A* is jump traceable for the order *h* iff there is a computable collection of c.e. sets W_{g(e)} with |W_{g(e)}| < h(e) and J^A(e) ∈ W_{g(e)}, for every partial *A*-computable function J^A.
- (Figueira, Nies, Stephan) A is strongly jump traceable iff it is jump traceable for every computable order.

Think about classical set theory notions of capturing a function by specifying possibilities. (Raisonnier, Shelah, ..., Zambella).

COMBINATORIAL IDEAS

Inspired by the result

THEOREM (TERWIJN-ZAMBELLA, THEN BEDREGAL, KJOS-HANSSEN, NIES, STEPHAN)

A is low for Schnorr randomness iff A is computably traceable. That is, for every function $f \leq_T A$ there is a canonical collection of finite sets $\{D_{g(n)} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $|D_{g(n)}| \leq n + 1$ and for all $n, f(n) \in D_{g(n)}$.

This generalized old traceing notions such as highness (Martin) lowness (Soare), hyperhperimmunity (Miller-Martin).

▲御▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣

THEOREM (NIES)

A is K-triv implies that there is an order $h (n \log n)$ relative to which A is jump traceable.

Improved to *M* log *n* for some *M* by Hölzl, Kräling, Merkle.

THEOREM (FIGUEIRA, NIES, STEPHAN)

Noncomputable sjt c.e. sets exist.

THEOREM (FIGUEIRA, NIES, STEPHAN)

If A is strongly superlow the A is sjt.

strongly superlow means that A' has very tame approximations

THEOREM (FIGUEIRA, NIES, STEPHAN) Sjt is equivalent to $C(n) \leq^+ C^A(n) + h(C^A(n))$ for all orders h. ie A is lowly for C

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□

THEOREM (CHOLAK, DOWNEY, GREENBERG)

The c.e. sjt's are a proper subclass of the K-trivials. They form an ideal.

Turetsky has recently shown that there is a K-trivial which is not $o(\log n)$ -jump traceable.

THEOREM (DOWNEY, GREENBERG) If A is sjt then A is Δ_2^0

THEOREM (DIAMONDSTONE, GREENBERG, TURETSKY) If A is sjt then $A \leq_T B$ with B sjt and c.e.

COROLLARY (DIAMONDSTONE, GREENBERG, TURETSKY) *A is sjt is equivalent to A is strongly super low.*

<回>< E> < E> < E> = E



RANDOMNESS

 It might seem that sjt's are an *artifact* of randomness and potential combinatorial characterizations of notions.
 However (Noam will be discussing these results):

THEOREM (GREENBERG, HIRSCHFELDT, NIES)

sjt=superlow $^{\diamond}$ =superhigh $^{\diamond}$. Here C^{\diamond} is exactly the c.e. sets below all random members of C.

THEOREM (GREENBERG, NIES)

A is sjt iff it is computable from all Δ_2 MRL which are not weakly Demuth random.

THEOREM (KUCERA-NIES, GREENBERG-TURETSKY) A c.e. degree **a** is sjt iff it is computable from some Demuth random real.

크

- ► Roughly need orders √log n, o(log n). Is there a combinatorial characterization?
- Conjecture : A is K-trivial iff A is jump traceable for all computable orders h with ∑_{n≥1} 2^{-h(n)} < ∞.</p>

(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

A HINT OF THE PROOF TECHNIQUES

- To show that if A and B are c.e. sjt, so is $A \oplus B$.
- Given *h* we can construct a slower order *k* such that if *A* and *B* are jump traceable via *k* then *A* ⊕ *B* is jump traceable via *h*
- ► Opponent gives: W_{p(x)} jump tracing A and W_{q(x)} jump tracing B, such that |W_{p(x)}|, |W_{p(x)}| < k(x).</p>

▲ 御 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶

3

• We: V_z tracing $J^{A \oplus B}(z)$ with $|V_z| < h(z)$.

TWO OBSTACLES

- We see an apparent jump computation $J^{A \oplus B}(x) \downarrow [s]$.
- Should we believe? We only have h(x) many slots in the trace V_x to put possible values.
- Opponent can change A or B after stage s on the use.
- We build parts of jump (recursion thm) testing A and B
- ▶ Basic idea: For some a = a(x) and b = b(x) we will define

$$J^{B}[s](b) = j_{B}(x, s)$$
 and $J^{A}[s](a) = j_{A}(x, s)$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ ◆□ ● ◇◇◇

where $j_C(x, s)$ denotes the *C*-use of the $J^{A \oplus B}(x)[s]$ computation.

- ▶ Ignore *noncompletion*: that is the $A \oplus B$ computation changes before these procedures return.
- Simplest case: $W_{p(a)}$ and $W_{q(b)}$ were of size 1 (1-boxes)
- Then if return: $A \oplus B$ is correct
- Now 2-boxes. If the A ⊕ B computation is wrong, at least one of the A or B ones are too.

|▲□ ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @



- ► If we are lucky and there is are false jump computations in both of the W_{p(a)} and W_{q(b)}.
- The 2-boxes are now, in effect 1-boxes. (Very good)
- Can't allow to only point at one side. Use up all the 2-boxes.
- For example if always the A sides was the wrong part, and there were k 2-boxes then after k attacks, all the 2-boxes would be useless and the information in the B-side is correct, hence the box is used.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ …

- Idea: use multiple 2-boxes. E.g. at the beginning use two 2-boxes for the same computation.
- A side was wrong. Then now we have two promoted 1-boxes.
- Since the A-computation now must be correct, if the believed computation is wrong, it must be the B side which wrong the next time, now creating a new B-1-box. Finally the third time we test, we would have two 1-boxes.

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

NON-RETURN

- Now we face the ignored problem. We test and before the computation returns, the jump computation is changed by an A or B change, but possibly one of the A or B uses is correct. Now nothing is promoted. This seems very bad.
- Even with 1-boxes.
- Use descending sequences of boxes, and monster boxes.

▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □

Complicated, combinatorial.



- The idea is that for a computation whose target is, say, 2-boxes, begin ever further out. Begin by testing at, say, s-boxes.
- Monster boxes called metaboxes.
- ► If both A and B return at the s-box, go to s 1 etc. Only believe if you get back to the 2-boxes. The idea that a failure at k promotes k + 1,..., s-boxes, at least on one side.
- A combinatorial argument if used to show that cannot favour one side forever.

PROPER SUBCLASS OF THE K-TRIVIALS

- How to make a properly ω-c.e. K-trivial?
- Use descending costs....
- If the trace grows slowly enough then can make K-trivial and not jt at that order. Much the same idea, the key point being the to change the trace and use a a box location, the use is very big, and the opponent needs more tailweight.

K-Triviality K-lowness

NG KENG MENG'S THEOREMS

- The c.e. sjt's are Π_4^0 complete.
- This solves a problem of Nies: there is no minimal order.

▲御▶ ▲理▶ ▲理▶

크

BEYOND JUMP TRACEABILITY

- Say A is C-sjt iff for all orders h^B , for $B \in C$, A is h^B -jt.
- (Ng) No real is C-sjt where $C = \Delta_2$.
- (Ng) There are c.e. reals sjt for all c.e. sets.
- (Ng) They cannot be promptly simple, the first such class.

▲帰▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶

3

• (Ng) No real is K^B -trivial for all B, or c.e. B.