

# MATH 442

## Test 2

2008

1. Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space, and suppose that  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is an inner product on  $E$  which is bounded in the sense that, for some positive constant  $K$ ,

$$(\forall x, y \in E) \quad |[x, y]| \leq K \|x\| \|y\|. \quad (1)$$

(The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  induced by  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is not supposed to be the same as  $\|\cdot\|$ ). Prove that, if  $E$  is a Hilbert space with respect to  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ , then there exists a positive constant  $L$  such that

$$(\forall x \in E) \quad L \|x\| \leq \|x\| \leq \sqrt{K} \|x\|.$$

Thus  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  define the same open sets and the same Cauchy sequences. [Hint: this follows from a Big Theorem, and is more or less impossible otherwise.]

2. In the space  $C([0, 1])$  with supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , there is an inner product

$$[f, g] := \int_0^1 f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt,$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that (1) holds in this case, and give an example of a sequence in  $C([0, 1])$  which is Cauchy with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  induced by  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  but not with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ .

3. Let  $\ell^\infty$  denote the Banach space of bounded *real-valued* sequences  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$  with the supremum norm. For any finite sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , define for  $(\xi_n) \in \ell^\infty$

$$M((\xi_n); \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{n+\alpha_i}.$$

Why does this definition make sense? Next, let

$$p((\xi_n)) := \inf M((\xi_n); \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k),$$

the infimum being taken over all choices of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all sequences  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ . Again, why does this definition make sense?

Prove that  $p$  is a sublinear functional on  $\ell^\infty$ .

4. Suppose that  $\phi: \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a linear functional dominated by the sublinear functional  $p$  of the last question. Show that  $\phi$  must have the following properties.

- If  $\xi_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , then  $\phi((\xi_n)) \geq 0$  [hint: prove that  $\phi(-(\xi_n)) \leq 0$ , using  $p$ ].
- If  $\eta_n := \xi_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi((\eta_n)) = \phi((\xi_n))$  [consider  $(\eta_n) - (\xi_n)$ ; use (a)].
- If  $\xi_n = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\phi((\xi_n)) = 1$ .

5. A metric (or, indeed, topological) space  $\Omega$  is *separable* if there is a countable subset of  $\Omega$  that is dense in  $\Omega$ .

(a) Prove that the (real) sequence spaces  $\ell^1$  and  $\ell^2$  are separable as normed spaces.

(b) Show that the sequence space  $\ell^\infty$  is not separable. [Find an uncountable subset of  $\ell^\infty$  such that any two distinct elements are at a distance 1 or greater from each other.]

(c) Let  $E$  be a separable normed space, with a countable dense subset  $X = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Show that the formula

$$d(\phi, \psi) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-k} |\phi(x_k) - \psi(x_k)|}{1 + |\phi(x_k) - \psi(x_k)|}$$

defines a metric on the closed unit ball  $\widehat{B}$  in the dual space  $E'$ . (Here  $\phi, \psi$  are linear functionals in  $\widehat{B}$ .)

(d) Prove that  $\widehat{B}$  is sequentially compact with respect to the metric defined by  $d$ . [In fact, the  $d$ -topology on  $\widehat{B}$  is the subspace topology induced by the weak\* topology on  $E'$ , so it does not depend on the choice of the dense countable subset  $X$ ; it follows that this is a weaker form of the Bourbaki-Alaoglu theorem. Hint: use a diagonal construction — subsequences of subsequences, etc.]

6. Suppose that  $E$  and  $F$  are normed spaces; let  $\phi \in E'$  and  $f \in F$ . Define a mapping  $T : E \rightarrow F$  by

$$(\forall x \in E) \quad Tx := \phi(x)f.$$

Prove that  $T$  is a bounded linear mapping  $E \rightarrow F$ , and calculate its operator-norm in terms of the given norms in  $E$  and in  $F$ . Show that  $T$  is of rank 1; and prove that, if  $A : E \rightarrow F$  is any bounded linear operator of rank 1, there must exist  $g \in F$  and  $\psi \in E'$  such that

$$(\forall x \in E) \quad Ax := \psi(x)g.$$

To what extent are  $\psi$  and  $g$  completely determined once  $A$  is given?

7. Let  $S$  be an orthonormal set in the Hilbert space  $H$ . Show that  $S$  is an orthonormal basis if and only if, for any  $x, y \in H$ , the unordered sum  $\sum_{a \in S} \langle x, a \rangle \langle a, y \rangle$  is defined and

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{a \in S} \langle x, a \rangle \langle a, y \rangle.$$

(This is sometimes called *Parseval's identity*.)

8. (a) Let  $H$  be a *complex* Hilbert space, and let  $A$  be a bounded linear operator in  $H$ . Show that  $A$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$  is real for all  $x \in H$ , and that  $A$  is skew-adjoint if and only if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$  is pure imaginary for all  $x \in H$ .

(b) Show also that, if  $H$  is a *real* Hilbert space and  $A$  a bounded operator therein, then  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in H$  if and only if  $A$  is skew-adjoint.

9. Let  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function. In the Hilbert space  $L^2([a, b])$ , define an operator  $T_\phi$  by

$$T_\phi(f) := \phi f$$

(pointwise multiplication). This operator is clearly well-defined. Show that it is bounded; find its adjoint; prove it is normal. When is it self-adjoint?

10. A bounded self-adjoint operator  $A$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  is called *positive* if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H$ .

(a) Show that, if  $H$  is *complex*, a bounded operator such that  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H$  must be self-adjoint. Is this still true if  $H$  is real?

(b) If  $A$  is bounded self-adjoint and positive, then  $\langle Ax, y \rangle$  defines a “semi-inner-product” in  $H$ . Define this concept, and show that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality still holds for it. Prove that, for any bounded semi-inner-product  $[, ]$  in  $H$  (in the sense of (1)), there is a bounded self-adjoint positive operator  $A$  such that  $[x, y] = \langle Ax, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in H$ .

(c) Deduce from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that, for any bounded self-adjoint positive operator  $A$ ,  $\|A\| = \sup\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in H \text{ \& } \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

(d) Prove that, for any bounded self-adjoint operator  $A$ ,  $\sup\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  and  $\inf\{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  both belong to the spectrum of  $A$ .