# LINKAGES IN A DIRECTED GRAPH WITH PARITY RESTRICTIONS

RUTGER CAMPBELL AND PETER NELSON

ABSTRACT. Given a digraph D and a graph G with common vertex set V and a set T of terminals in V, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a k-edge matching of G whose vertex set is linked to T by vertex-disjoint dipaths of D. The result we obtain is a common generalisation of the Tutte-Berge formula and Menger's Theorem.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

If D = (V, A) is a digraph and S and T are subsets of V, we say that S is T-linked in D if there is a collection of |S| vertex-disjoint directed paths from S to T in D. We write  $D^{S,T}$  for the digraph obtained from D by removing all arcs with head in S or tail in T; note that S is T-linked in D if and only if it is T-linked in  $D^{S,T}$ . Given a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of the vertex set of a graph or digraph, an edge or arc crosses  $\mathcal{P}$  if its ends lie in different blocks of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and D = (V, A) be a digraph. If  $T \subseteq V$  and  $k \ge 0$ , then exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) G has a k-edge matching M so that V(M) is T-linked in D.
- (2) There are sets S', T' with  $S' \subseteq V$  and  $T \subseteq T' \subseteq V$  and a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of V such that no edge of G S' or arc of  $D^{S',T'}$  crosses  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \frac{1}{2} (|P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'|) \right| < k$ .

The *T*-linked subsets of *V* are the independent sets of a representable matroid known as a *strict gammoid* [2 p.659], so the above can be stated as a matroid matching problem. Tong, Lawler and Vazirani [3] observed that this problem can be reduced to a graph matching problem in an auxiliary graph *H*. We derive Theorem 1.1 by applying the Tutte-Berge formula (which is straightforward to recover by setting T = V in the above) to *H*. In fact, we prove a slightly more general result:

This research was partially supported by a grant from the Office of Naval Research [N00014-12-1-0031].

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph and D = (V, A) be a digraph. If  $S, T \subseteq V$  and  $k \ge 0$  then exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) There is a matching M of G and a set  $X \subseteq S \setminus V(M)$  such that |M| + |X| = k and  $V(M) \cup X$  is T-linked in D.
- (2) There are sets S', T' with  $S \subseteq S' \subseteq V$  and  $T \subseteq T' \subseteq V$  and a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of V such that no edge of G S' or arc of  $D^{S',T'}$  crosses  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'| \right) \right\rfloor < k$ .

It is fairly easy to check (and will be proved later) that the summation in (2) for any admissible  $\mathcal{P}$  is an upper bound for the size of |M| + |X| as in (1). Setting  $S = \emptyset$  yields Theorem 1.1; we consider other applications, including a derivation of Menger's theorem for vertex-disjoint paths in a digraph, in Section 4.

#### 2. Preliminaries

All graphs and digraphs are simple. For  $X \subseteq V(G)$  we write G - X for the graph obtained by deleting the vertices in X. For a matching M, we write V(M) for the set of vertices saturated by M. For a digraph D = (V, A) and subsets S and T of V, an (S, T)-linkage in D is a set Q of |S| vertex-disjoint (S, T)-dipaths in D. We phrase the following well-known result [2 p. 413] in a convenient form.

**Theorem 2.1** (Tutte-Berge Formula). If G = (V, E) is a graph, then

$$\nu(G) = \min_{Z \subseteq V} \left( |Z| + \sum_{C} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \right\rfloor \right),$$

where the summation is taken over the components C of G - Z.

As usual,  $\nu(G)$  denotes the size of a maximum matching of G; we now extend this notation to deal with linked matchings. If G = (V, E)is a graph with a set  $S \subseteq V$  of 'roots' and D = (V, A) is a digraph with a set  $T \subseteq V$  of 'terminals', then we write  $\nu(G, D; S, T)$  for the maximum of |M| + |X| such that M is a matching of  $G, X \subseteq S \setminus V(M)$ and  $X \cup V(M)$  is T-linked in D.

We now define the auxiliary graph to which Theorem 2.1 will be applied. Let G = (V, E) be a graph, D = (V, A) be a digraph, and S, Tbe subsets of V. Let  $\widehat{V} = \{\widehat{v} : v \in V\}$  be a disjoint copy of V and let  $\widehat{U} = \{\widehat{u} : u \in U\}$  for each  $U \subseteq V$ . Let  $F = \{\widehat{u}v : (u, v) \in A\} \cup \{u\widehat{u} : u \in V\} \cup E$ . Let  $H(G, D; S, T) = (V \cup \widehat{V}, F) - (S \cup \widehat{T})$ ; note that  $V(H) = V \cup \widehat{V} \setminus \left((T \setminus S) \cup (\widehat{S} \setminus \widehat{T})\right)$  and |V(H)| = 2|V| - |S| - |T|.

If  $P = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_j)$  is a dipath of D with end vertex in T and no internal vertex in  $S \cup T$ , then  $\mu_H(P)$  will denote the set of edges  $\{\widehat{v_0}v_1, \widehat{v_1}v_2, \dots, \widehat{v_{j-1}}v_j\}$ . Note that this is a matching of H saturating exactly  $\{\widehat{v_0}, v_1, \widehat{v_1}, \dots, v_{j-1}, \widehat{v_{j-1}}, v_j\}$ . If  $v_0 = v_j$  then  $\mu_H(P)$  is empty.

## 3. The Proof

We first show that computing  $\nu(G, D; S, T)$  can be reduced to computing  $\nu(H)$  for the auxiliary graph H.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph, D = (V, A) be a digraph, and S and T be subsets of V. If H = H(G, D; S, T) then  $\nu(H) = \nu(G, D; S, T) - |S| - |T| + |V|$ .

Proof. We first argue that  $\nu(H) \geq \nu(G, D; S, T) - |S| - |T| + |V|$ . Let M be a matching of G and  $X \subseteq S - V(M)$  be a set so that  $|M| + |X| = \nu(G, D; S, T)$  and  $X \cup V(M)$  is T-linked in D. Let Q be an  $(X \cup V(M), T)$ -linkage in D; by choosing M, X and Q so that the total length of the paths in Q is minimized, we may assume that Qlinks every vertex in  $S \cap T$  to itself by a trivial path (so  $S \cap T \subseteq X$ ), and that no path in Q has an internal vertex in  $S \cup T$ . Let  $Y = X \setminus (S \cap T)$ and let  $T_0 \subseteq T$  be the set of end vertices of dipaths in Q with start vertex in Y, so  $|T_0| = |Y| + 2|M|$ . Let  $M_0 = \bigcup_{P \in Q} \mu_H(P) \cup E(M)$  and  $M_1 = M_0 \cup \{v\hat{v} : v \in V - (S \cup T \cup V(M_0))\}$ . The set  $M_1$  is a matching of H with  $V(M_1) = V(H) - ((\widehat{U} \setminus \widehat{T}) \setminus \widehat{Y}) \cup ((S \setminus T) \setminus T_0)$ . Therefore

$$\nu(H) \ge |M_1| = \frac{1}{2}(|V(H)| - |S \setminus T| + |Y| - |T \setminus S| + |T_0|).$$

Using |V(H)| = 2|V| - |S| - |T|,  $|T_0| = |Y| + 2|M|$ , and  $|X| = |Y| + |T \cap S|$ , a computation gives  $|M_1| = |V| - |S| - |T| + |M| + |X| = |V| - |S| - |T| + \nu(G, D; S, T)$ . This gives the required inequality.

Let  $M_V$  denote the matching  $\{v\hat{v}: v \in V - (S \cup T)\}$  of H. Let  $M_H$ be a matching of H of size  $\nu(H)$  for which  $|M_H \cap M_V|$  is as large as possible. Let  $\hat{X} = (\hat{S} \setminus \hat{T}) \cap V(M_H)$ ,  $T_0 = (T \setminus S) \cap V(M_H)$  and let  $M_G = M_H \cap E(G)$ . Consider the graph  $H' = (V(H), (M_H - M_G) \cup M_V)$ . The components of H' are either edges of  $M_H \cap M_V$ , or paths or even cycles in which edges alternate between  $M_H - M_G$  and  $M_V$ , and in which vertices alternate between V and  $\hat{V}$ . The set of isolated vertices of H is  $(T \setminus T_0) \cup (\hat{S} \setminus \hat{X})$ . Each vertex in  $\hat{X} \cup T_0 \cup V(M_G)$  has degree 1 in H and each vertex in  $V(H) \setminus (\hat{S} \cup T)$  has degree at least 1 in H.

If Q is a path component of H with an end edge  $u\hat{u} \in M_V \setminus M_H$  and  $u \notin V(M_G)$ , then the corresponding end vertex of Q is unmatched in  $M_H$ , so  $M_H \Delta E(Q)$  is a matching of size at least  $\nu(H)$  containing more edges of  $M_V$  than  $M_H$  does, a contradiction. Therefore  $u \in V(M_G)$  for every such component. Moreover, every  $u \in V(M_G)$  is contained in a path component of this sort. Combining the above information with

the alternating conditions on edges and vertices, it follows that every component of H is either

- (a) an isolated vertex in  $((\widehat{S} \setminus \widehat{T}) \setminus \widehat{X}) \cup ((T \setminus S) \setminus T_0),$
- (b) an edge in  $M_V \cup M_H$ ,
- (c) an even cycle contained in  $V(H) \setminus (\widehat{S} \cup T_0)$ , or
- (d) a path with one end in  $\widehat{X} \cup V(M_G)$ , another end in  $T_0$ , and no internal vertex in  $\widehat{S} \cup T$ .

Therefore  $V(M_H) = V(H) - ((\widehat{S} \setminus \widehat{T}) \setminus \widehat{X}) \cup ((T \setminus S) \setminus T_0)$ . Moreover, the set of  $M_H$ -edges in each path Q of type (d) corresponds to a dipath Pin D from the end of Q in  $X \cup V(M_G)$  to the end of Q in  $T_0$ , so the set of paths of type (d) together imply that  $X \cup V(M_G)$  is T-linked in D and  $|T_0| = 2|M_G| + |X|$ . Thus  $\nu(H) = \frac{1}{2}(|V(H)| - |S \setminus T| + |X| - |T \setminus S| + |T_0|)$ . Using |V(H)| = 2|V| - |T| - |S| and  $|T_0| = 2|M_G| + |X|$ , we get  $\nu(H) = |V| - |T| - |S| + |S \cap T| + |M_G| + |X|$ . But  $M_G \cup X$  is Tlinked in D and so is  $(M_G \cup X) \cup (S \cap T)$  by adding trivial paths, so  $\nu(G, D; S, T) \ge |M_G| + |X| + |S \cap T|$  and the lemma follows.  $\Box$ 

We now prove Theorem 1.2, rephrasing it as a 'min-max' theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G = (V, E) be a graph, D = (V, A) be a digraph and S, T be subsets of V. Then

$$\nu(G, D; S, T) = \min_{S', T', \mathcal{P}} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'| \right) \right\rfloor$$

where the minimum is taken over all  $S \subseteq S' \subseteq V$ ,  $T \subseteq T' \subseteq V$  and partitions  $\mathcal{P}$  of V that are crossed by no edge of G - S' or arc of  $D^{S',T'}$ .

*Proof.* We first show that for any  $S', T', \mathcal{P}$  chosen as above, the summation in the formula is an upper bound for  $\nu(G, D; S, T)$ . Since  $\nu(G, D; S, T) \leq \nu(G, D; S', T')$  whenever  $S \subseteq S', T \subseteq T'$ , it suffices to assume that S' = S and T' = T. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a partition of V crossed by no edge of G-S or arc of  $D^{S,T}$ . If  $\nu(G,D;S,T) = k$ , then there is a matching M of G and a set  $X \subseteq S \setminus V(M)$  with |X| + |M| = k and an  $(X \cup V(M), T)$ -linkage  $\mathcal{Q}$  in D. It is clear that we can choose X, M and  $\mathcal{Q}$  so that  $S \cap V(M) = \emptyset$  and so that no dipath in  $\mathcal{Q}$  has an internal vertex in  $S \cup T$ ; therefore each path in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and edge in M is contained in a block of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $T_0 \subseteq T$  be the set of final vertices of paths in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , so  $|T_0| = |X| + 2|M|$ . Each edge of M contributes two vertices of  $T_0$  to its block and each vertex in X contributes one vertex of each of X and  $T_0$ to its block, so for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  the quantity  $|P \cap X| + |P \cap T_0|$  is even and thus at most  $2\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(|P \cap S| + |P \cap T|\right)\right|$ . Summing over all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , we see that  $2k = 2(|X| + |M|) = |X| + |T_0| \le 2\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \frac{1}{2} (|P \cap S| + |P \cap T|) \right|,$ as required.

It now suffices to show that there exists a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  where equality holds. Let H = H(G, D; S, T). By Theorem 2.1, there is a set  $Z \subseteq V(H)$  such that  $\nu(H) = |Z| + \sum_C \lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \rfloor$ , where we sum over components C of H - Z. Let  $Z = U \cup \widehat{W}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of components of H - Z. For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  let  $P(C) = P_1 \cup P_2$ , where  $V(C) = \widehat{P_1} \cup P_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}' = \{P(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}' \cup \{\{v\} : v \in S' \cap T'\}$ , noting that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a partition of  $V \setminus (S' \cup T')$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a partition of V. By construction of H, no edge of G - U or arc of  $D^{U,W}$ crosses  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $S' = S \cup U$ ,  $T' = T \cup W$ . The vertices  $v \in V$  for which  $\{v, \hat{v}\} \subseteq V(H-Z)$  are exactly those in  $V \setminus (S' \cup T')$ , and each such pair  $v, \hat{v}$  is joined by an edge of H-Z. For each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $V(C) = \widehat{P_1} \cup P_2$ , we therefore have  $P_2 \cap (V \setminus (S' \cup T')) = P_1 \cap (V \setminus (S' \cup T'))$ , so

$$\begin{split} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \right\rfloor &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P_1| + |P_2| \right) \right] \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P_1 \cap T'| + |P_2 \cap S'| + 2|P_1 \cap \left( V \setminus (S' \cup T') \right)| \right) \right] \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P(C) \cap T'| + |P(C) \cap S'| \right) \right\rfloor + |P_1 \cap \left( V \setminus (S' \cup T') \right)|, \end{split}$$

since  $P_2 \cap T' = P_1 \cap S' = \emptyset$ . Summing over all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  gives

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \right\rfloor = |V \setminus (S' \cup T')| + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P(C) \cap T'| + |P(C) \cap S'| \right) \right\rfloor$$
$$= |V \setminus (S' \cup T')| + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}'} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'| \right) \right\rfloor.$$

Every block in  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}'$  is a singleton in  $S' \cap T'$ , so  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}'} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'| \right) \right\rfloor = |S' \cap T'|.$  With the above this gives  $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \right\rfloor = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |P \cap S'| + |P \cap T'| \right) \right\rfloor + |V| - |S'| - |T'|.$  The required equality now follows from definition of S' and T', Lemma 3.1 and the fact that  $\nu(H) = |U| + |W| + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} |V(C)| \right\rfloor.$ 

#### 4. Applications

We saw earlier that setting  $U = \emptyset$  and T = V in Theorem 1.2 yields the Tutte-Berge formula; another special case gives Menger's theorem for vertex-disjoint paths in a digraph:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let D = (V, A) be a digraph and S and T be subsets of V. Either there are k vertex-disjoint dipaths from S to T in D or there is a set  $X \subseteq V$  so that |X| < k and there are no dipaths from Sto T in D - X: Proof. We set  $G = (V, \emptyset)$  and apply Theorem 1.2. If there are no k vertex-disjoint (S,T)-dipaths in D then there are sets  $S' \supseteq S$ ,  $T' \supseteq T$  and a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of V crossed by no edges of  $D^{S',T'}$  so that  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |S' \cap P| + |T' \cap P| \right) \right\rfloor < k$ . Note that each minimal (S',T')-dipath in D is contained in a block of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  let  $X_P = P \cup S'$  if  $|P \cup S'| \leq |P \cup T'|$  and  $X_P = P \cup T'$  otherwise. Let  $X = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X_P$ . Now  $|X_P| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |S' \cap P| + |T' \cap P| \right) \right\rfloor$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  so |X| < k. But by construction, no block of  $\mathcal{P}$  contains both a vertex of  $S' \setminus X$  and a vertex of  $T' \setminus X$ , so there are no (S', T')-dipaths in D - X, giving the result.

Our next corollary is a 'qualitative' version of Theorem 1.2 with a cleaner statement.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let G be a graph and D be a digraph with common vertex set V, let  $T \subseteq V$  and let k be a positive integer. Either G has a k-edge matching whose vertex set is T-linked in D, or there is a set  $X \subseteq V$  with  $|X| \leq 2k - 2$  such that  $\{u, v\}$  is not T-linked in D - Xfor any edge uv of G.

Proof. If G has no such matching, then by Theorem 1.1 there are sets  $S' \subseteq V, T \subseteq T' \subseteq V$  and a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of V crossed by no edge of G-S' or arc of  $D^{S',T'}$  so that  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \left( |S' \cap P| + |T' \cap P| \right) \right\rfloor \leq k-1$ . Let X be a set formed by choosing all but one element of  $|(S' \cup T') \cap P|$  from each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ; note that  $|X| \leq 2(k-1)$ . It is clear that no vertex in S' is T-linked in D-X, and if  $uv \in E(G-S')$  then any minimal  $(\{u, v\}, T)$ -linkage in D-X is contained in a block of  $\mathcal{P}$  so cannot exist by choice of X. Therefore there is no edge of G whose set of ends is T-linked in D-X, as required.

If S and T are sets of vertices in a digraph D, then we say that S is doubly T-linked in D if there are disjoint (S,T)-linkages  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$ in D such that the 2|S| dipaths in  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$  have only initial vertices in common. Our final corollary gives a qualitative obstruction to large doubly T-linked sets.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let D = (V, A) be a digraph and  $S, T \subseteq V$ . Either there exists a doubly T-linked k-element subset of S or there is a set  $Z \subseteq V$  such that  $|Z| \leq 2k - 2$  and there is no  $x \in S$  for which  $\{x\}$  is doubly T-linked in D - Z.

Proof. Let  $\widehat{S} = \{\hat{s} : s \in S\}$  be a copy of S disjoint from V and let  $V^+ = V \cup \widehat{S}$ . Let  $G^+ = (V^+, \{s\hat{s} : s \in S\})$  and  $D^+ = (V^+, A \cup \{(\hat{s}, v) : s \in S, (s, v) \in A\})$  (the copies of vertices in S therefore have no inneighbours in  $D^+$ ). Note that a set  $S_0 \subseteq S$  is doubly T-linked in D if

and only if the vertex set of the corresponding matching  $\{s\hat{s} : s \in S_0\}$ of  $G^+$  is *T*-linked in  $D^+$ . If there is no doubly *T*-linked *k*-element subset of *S* in *D*, then by Theorem 4.2 there is a set  $Z \subseteq V^+$  such that  $|Z| \leq 2k - 2$  and for all  $s \in S$  the set  $\{s, \hat{s}\}$  is not *T*-linked in  $D^+ - Z$ . It is clear that *Z* can be chosen to contain no vertices of  $\hat{S}$ , and therefore that *Z* satisfies the theorem.  $\Box$ 

In the special case of graphs (in other words, when  $(u, v) \in A$  if and only if  $(v, u) \in A$ ), the above is equivalent to Theorem 2.1 of [1].

#### 5. Acknowledgements

We thank Jim Geelen for suggesting the problem and for his useful advice.

## 6. References

- N. Kakimura, K. Kawarabayashi, D. Marx, Packing cycles through prescribed vertices, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 101 (2011), 378–381.
- [2] A. Schrijver, Combinatorial Optimization: Polyhedra and Efficiency, Springer, Algorithms and Combinatorics 23 (2003).
- [3] P. Tong, E.L. Lawler, V.V. Vazirani, Solving the weighted parity problem for gammoids by reduction to graphic matching, Technical Report UCB/CSD-82-103, EECS Department, University of California, Berkeley (1982).

DEPARTMENT OF COMBINATORICS AND OPTIMIZATION, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, CANADA