

More on Dimension

Rod Downey
Victoria University
Wellington
New Zealand

REFERENCES

- ▶ Turing degrees of reals of positive effective packing dimension, (Downey and Greenberg) *Information Processing Letters*. Vol. 108 (2008), 298-303.
- ▶ PhD Thesis, Chris Conidis, University of Chicago, 2009.
- ▶ Effective Packing dimension and traceability (Downey and Ng), submitted.

NOTATION

- ▶ Real is a member of Cantor space 2^ω with topology with basic clopen sets $[\sigma] = \{\sigma\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ whose measure is $\mu([\sigma]) = 2^{-|\sigma|}$.
- ▶ Strings = members of $2^{<\omega} = \{0, 1\}^*$.

MARTINGALES AND SUPERMARTINGALES

- ▶ Recall that a **martingale** is a betting strategy $F : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ so that $F(\sigma) = \frac{F(\sigma 0) + F(\sigma 1)}{2}$. If $=$ is replaced by \leq then this is a **supermartingale**.
- ▶ Succeeds if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha \upharpoonright n) = \infty$.
- ▶ Recall α is 1-random iff no c.e. supermartingale succeeds on α . Here c.e. is computable from below. (Schnorr)

ORDERS

- ▶ This is concerned with the “speed” of success.
- ▶ Schnorr called a function h and **order**, if h is nondecreasing and $\lim_n h(n) = \infty$. Computable unless specified otherwise.
- ▶ If F is a martingale and h is an order the **h -success** set of F is the set:

$$S_h(F) = \left\{ \alpha : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\alpha \upharpoonright n)}{h(n)} \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

- ▶ (Schnorr) A real α is Schnorr random iff for all computable orders h and all computable martingales F , $\alpha \notin S_h(F)$.

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

- ▶ 1895 Borel, Jordan
- ▶ Lebesgue 1904 measure
- ▶ In any n -dimensional Euclidean space, Carathéodory 1914

$$\mu^s(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i |I_i|^s : A \subset \bigcup_i I_i \right\},$$

where each I_i is an interval in the space.

- ▶ 1919 Hausdorff s fractional; and refine measure 0.
- ▶ For $0 \leq s \leq 1$, the s -measure of a clopen set $[\sigma]$ is

$$\mu_s([\sigma]) = 2^{-s|\sigma|}.$$

- ▶ Mayordomo has the following characterization of effective Hausdorff dimension:
- ▶ (Lutz) An **s-gale** is a function $F : 2^{<\omega} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(\sigma) = 2^s(F(\sigma 0) + F(\sigma 1)).$$

Similarly we can define **s-supergale**, etc.

- ▶ Theorem (Mayordomo) For a class X the following are equivalent:
 - (I) $\dim(X) = s$.
 - (II) $s = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{Q} : X \subseteq S[d] \text{ for some } s\text{-supergale } F\}$.
- ▶ Lutz says the following:

“Informally speaking, the above theorem says the the dimension of a set is the **most hostile environment** (i.e. most unfavorable payoff schedule, i.e. the infimum s) in which a single betting strategy can **achieve infinite winnings** on every element of the set.”

GALES VS SUPERGALES

- ▶ In (ii) we can replace **supergale** by **gale** because of the work of Hitchcock.
- ▶ This requires work. Essentially you show that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $s + \epsilon$ -martingale which is universal for all s -supermartingales.
- ▶ Open question: is there e.g. a multiplicatively optimal s -gale? Can one delete the b_ϵ from Hitchcock's Theorem?

- ▶ **Theoerm** (Mayordomo): The effective Hausdorff dimension of a real α is

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(\alpha \upharpoonright n)}{n} = \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(\alpha \upharpoonright n)}{n} \right)$$

- ▶ (Schnorr) “To our opinion the important statistical laws correspond to null sets with fast growing orders. Here the exponentially growing orders are of special significance.”
- ▶ When asked at Dagstuhl he commented that he did not have Hausdorff dimension in mind.

EXTRACTING RANDOMNESS

- ▶ An easy example of something which has effective dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ is to take Ω and spread it out by inserting 0's every second bit. (Tadaki etc)
- ▶ Question: (Reimann, Terwijn) Can randomness always be extracted from positive dimension? What about dimension 1?
- ▶ Question (Reimann) Can dimension 1 always be extracted from positive dimension.

THREE THEOREMS

- ▶ **Theorem** (Miller) There is a Turing cone of dimension $\frac{1}{2}$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenberg and Miller) There is a real of effective Hausdorff dimension 1 of minimal degree.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Zimand) Hausdorff dimension 1 can be extracted from **two independent** sources of positive dimension. (In fact $1 - \epsilon$) can be extracted from independent sources where the initial segment (plain) complexity is eventually bigger than $c \log n$ for all c .)

THE GREENBERG-MILLER THEOREM

- ▶ (GM) There is a real of effective Hausdorff dimension 1 and of minimal degree.
- ▶ The proof idea. First generalize the notion of s -measure to **functions** (orders).
- ▶ Observe that if the order is sufficiently slowly growing then the resultant set has effective Hausdorff dimension 1.
- ▶ Now force with **bushy** (Kumabe) trees in something like “computably bounded” Baire space. This is a kind of miniature Prikry forcing.

ZIMAND'S THEOREM

- ▶ “small” is $O(\log)$ for our purposes.
- ▶ Independence: want to express the fact that X and Y have little common information.
- ▶ X and Y are **C-independent** iff for all n, m ,

$$C(X \upharpoonright nY \upharpoonright m) \geq C(X \upharpoonright n) + C(Y \upharpoonright m) - O(\log n + \log m).$$
- ▶ A stronger form is noted by Calude and Zimand

$$C^X(Y \upharpoonright n) \geq C(Y \upharpoonright n) - O(\log n) \text{ and } C^Y(X \upharpoonright n) \geq C(X \upharpoonright n) - O(\log n).$$
- ▶ Now suppose we have independent sources X and Y of positive dimension.
- ▶ **Break** the X and Y into blocks $X_1 X_2 \dots, Y_1 Y_2 \dots$ suitably chosen so that the conditional complexity of X_{i+1} is reasonably high relative to $X_1 \dots X_i$.

- ▶ Done using: If q are rational, and that for almost all n , $C(X \upharpoonright n) > qn$ and $C(Y \upharpoonright n) > qn$. Let $0 < r < q$. For any n_0 sufficiently large, if we take $0 < r' < q - r$, and then $n_1 = \lceil \frac{1-r}{r'} \rceil n_0$. **Then:** $C(X \upharpoonright_{n_0+1}^{n_1} \mid X \upharpoonright n_0) > r(n_1 - n_0)$.
- ▶ Thus if $b = \lceil \frac{1-r}{r'} \rceil$.
- ▶ Let $t_0 = 0$ and $t_1 = b(t_0)$ with $t_{i+1} = b(t_0 + \dots + t_i)$. For $i \geq 1$ define $X_i = X \upharpoonright_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}$.
- ▶ $|X_i| = |Y_i| = n_0 b^2 (1 + b)^{i-3}$ for $i \geq 3$.

THE COMBINATORIAL HEART

- ▶ **Compress** the pair $E_i(X_i, Y_i) \mapsto Z_i$. We get a truth table reduction generated by the sequence E_1, E_2, \dots
- ▶ $Z = Z_1 Z_2 \dots$ is the desired real.
- ▶ We say that a function $E : 2^n \times 2^n \rightarrow 2^m$ is $(r, 2)$ -**regular** iff for every $k_1, k_2 \geq rn$, and any subsets $B_i \subseteq 2^n$ with $|B_i| = k_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, then for any $\sigma \in 2^m$,

$$|E^{-1}(\sigma) \cap (B_1 \times B_2)| \leq \frac{2}{2^m} |B_1 \times B_2|.$$

- ▶ Here $m = m_i = i^2$. The idea is that any target string z has essentially the same number of pre-images in $B_1 \times B_2$, and hence $E^{-1}(z) \cap B \times B$ can be enumerated effectively, so that if z has low complexity, then it becomes too easy to describe the pair. (Devil in details)

THE EXTRACTOR IDEA

- ▶ Independent strings x and y of length n with $C(x), C(y) = qn$ for positive rational q .
- ▶ $E : 2^n \times 2^n \rightarrow 2^m$ for each suitably large enough rectangle $B_1 \times B_2$ E maps about the same number of pairs to each $\tau \in 2^m$.
- ▶ $B \times B \in 2^{qn} \times 2^{qn}$, any $A \subseteq 2^m$, $|E^{-1}(A)| \approx \frac{|B \times B|}{2^m} |A|$.
- ▶ $z = E(x, y)$, the C -complexity of z must be large.
- ▶ If $C(z) < (1 - \epsilon)m$, then we note that
 - (I) The set $B = \{\sigma \in 2^n \mid C(\sigma) = qn\}$ has size approximately 2^{qn} .
 - (II) The set $A = \{\tau \in 2^m \mid C(\tau) < (1 - \epsilon)m\}$ has size $< 2^{(1-\epsilon)m}$.
 - (III) $(x, y) \in E^{-1}(A) \cap B \times B$.
- ▶ $|E^{-1}(A) \cap B \times B| \leq \frac{(2^{qn})^2}{2^{\epsilon m}}$.
- ▶ Hence $C(x, y) \leq 2qn - \epsilon m$, by c.e. listing.
- ▶ **But**, x and y are C -independent and hence $C(xy) \approx C(x) + C(y) = 2qn$, a contradiction.

THE CONSTRUCTION

- ▶ **Step 1.** Split $X = X_1 X_2 \dots$ and $Y = Y_1 Y_2 \dots$ as above, using the parameters $r = \frac{q}{2}$ and $r' = \frac{q}{4}$.
- ▶ We remark that for each i

$$C(X_i | \overline{X}_{i-1}) > rn_i \text{ and } C(Y_i | \overline{Y}_{i-1}) > rn_i.$$

- ▶ **Step 2.** For the parameter $m_i = i^2$, find a $(\frac{r}{2}, 2)$ -regular function. Define $Z_i = E_i(X_i, Y_i)$.
- ▶ **Step 3.** Define $Z = Z_1 Z_2 \dots$.

PACKING DIMENSION

- ▶ Idea is to replace outer measure by inner measure.
- ▶ We use the Athreya, Hitchcock, Lutz, Mayordomo characterization. The packing dimension of a real α is of a real α is

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(\alpha \upharpoonright n)}{n} = (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(\alpha \upharpoonright n)}{n})$$

- ▶ Interesting as 2-generics have high effective packing dimension, measure meets category.

- ▶ What Turing degrees contain reals of high packing dimension?
- ▶ Fortnow, Hitchcock, Aduri, Vinodchandran, Wang have proven that if a real has packing dimension above > 0 , then there is one of the same weak truth table degree of packing dimension $1 - \epsilon$.
- ▶ hence for degrees a 0-1 Law for effective packing dimension.
- ▶ (Open Question) is there a **real** of effective packing dimension 1 inside each degree of packing dimension 1?

THE PROOF

- ▶ This proof is due to Bienvenu.
- ▶ Have $K(X \upharpoonright n) \geq tn$ some t . Break X into intervals of size $[m^k, m^{k+1})$ a **large** number. Then for any $t' < \frac{t}{m}$
 $\exists^\infty k C(X \upharpoonright m^k) \geq t' m^k$. (Kolmogorov computations)
- ▶ Now let $s = \limsup_k \frac{C(X \upharpoonright m^k)}{m^k}$.
- ▶ Now we have rationals $s_1 < s < s_2$ and when we see τ_k with $|\tau_k| \geq m^k$, $|\tau_k| < s_2 m^k$ we output $Z_k = \tau_k$. Then $Z = Z_1 Z_2 \dots$ works by easy calculations.
- ▶ The original proof was a bit different, but also nonuniform, and actually gave **polynomial time** reductions using complex multisource extractors of Impagliazzo and Wigderson.

HOW TO WORK WITH PACKING DIMENSION

The following lemma is implicit in, e.g. Conidis

LEMMA

There is a computable mapping $(\sigma, \epsilon) \mapsto n_\epsilon(\sigma)$ which maps a finite binary string $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ and a positive rational ϵ to a natural number n such that there is some binary string τ of length n such that

$$\frac{K(\sigma\tau)}{|\sigma\tau|} \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

A MINIMAL DEGREE OF PACKING DIMENSION 1

- ▶ We prove this theorem of Downey and Greenberg.
- ▶ We force with **clumpy trees**. These are clumps generated by the n_ϵ above and separated by long stretches.
- ▶ The Lemma allows us to make sure that we only have the branches of the perfect clumpy trees at the clumps in a Spector style forcing.

- ▶ The same kind of idea can be used to construct a rank one c.e. real of packing dimension 1. (Conidis)
- ▶ Have a clump, move only left, with long stretches of zeroes extending.
- ▶ Interesting as this is not possible for Hausdorff dimension.

DEGREES

- ▶ (Downey, Jockusch, Stob) Recall that **a** is **array noncomputable** iff for all $f \leq_{wtt} \emptyset'$ there is a function $g \leq_T \mathbf{a}$ such that

$$\exists^\infty n (g(n) > f(n)).$$

- ▶ Array computability is stronger than being **totally ω -c.e.** (DG) where **bfb** is this iff all functions $g \leq_T \mathbf{b}$ are ω -c.e..
- ▶ These latter ones crop up in randomness via e.g. computable finite randomness (Brodhead, D, Ng). Also in the cL-degrees (Barnali, D, Greenberg) These c.e. degrees are definable (D, Greenberg, Weber)

THEOREM

(DG) A c.e. degree contains a real of effective packing dimension 1 iff it is array noncomputable.

- ▶ One direction. First notice that for c.e. sets, array computable is the same as traceable. (Ismukhametov)
- ▶ That is for any computable order h , and all functions $g \leq_T A$, there is a weak array $W_{q(n)} : n \in \omega$, such that $|W_{q(n)}| < h(n)$ and $g(n) \in W_{h(n)}$.
- ▶ Think $g(n) = A \upharpoonright n$.
- ▶ If the trace is very slow growing, then we can describe with very few bits of information, an idea of Kummer.

- ▶ The harder direction. To make the real complex, at some clump we need to be able to move left often enough lift the dimension.
- ▶ Then you could use the classical version of anc.
- ▶ c.e. set A is anc iff for all very strong arrays $D_{k(n)} : n \in \omega$ (ie $|D_{k(n+1)}| > |D_{k(n)}|$), for all e there is a n with $W_e \cap D_{k(n)} = A \cap D_{k(n)}$. This is a kind of “multiple permitting”.
- ▶ Actually works for pb-generic. so outside of the c.e. degrees.

RELATED RESULTS

- ▶ Kummer's gap. We know that a c.e. set can have maximal complexity $C(A \upharpoonright n)$ as $2 \log n$. Solovay showed that it is impossible to have that almost always.
- ▶ (Kummer) Either a c.e. degree is array computable and all initial segments are within $(1 + \epsilon) \log n + O(1)$. **or** the degree contains a set which is infinitely often $2 \log n - O(1)$.

CHARACTERIZATION

- ▶ First guess: packing dimension 1 iff anc.
- ▶ False superlow randoms are ac, and similarly hyperimmune-free randoms.
- ▶ Second guess: packing dimension 1 iff non-c.e. traceable.
Reasonable since random reals are all non-c.e. traceable.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Downey and Ng) There is a Δ_3^0 real A which is of hyperimmune-free degree and not c.e. traceable, such that every real $\alpha \leq_T A$ has effective packing dimension 0.
- ▶ Maybe this has something to do with lowness like Schnorr, Kurtz etc:
- ▶ **Theorem** (Downey and Ng) There is a real $A \leq_T \emptyset'$ which is not c.e. traceable, such that every real $\alpha \leq_T A$ has effective packing dimension 0.

- ▶ The **proofs** again use this notion of highly branching trees instead of Cantor space, (a finite extension argument+) over a Spector-style forcing. within the sequence of conditions, for $A \in [T_e]$ we need to kill off $\Phi_j^A(x \restriction n) \leq \frac{x}{2}$ for almost all x . The fatness of the tree will be enough to make sure that there is enough of the condition left to perform the construction.
- ▶ This is an external function describing the splits of the tree. Diagonalization is possible as the tracing must be arbitrarily slow.
- ▶ Leaving enough of a tree relies on a certain level by level “majority vote” argument. This relies on the fact we only need to describe *sets* below the trees rather than *functions*.
- ▶ In some sense this gives **implicit** descriptions on the survivors of the tree, and hence allows us to keep the complexity down with long intervals and clumps.

Thank you